

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA**

**CM #18-15**

**May 2, 2018**

**2:10 ~ 2:45 P.M.**

**COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:** Swain E. WHITFIELD, *Chairman*; Comer H. ‘Randy’ RANDALL, *Vice Chairman*; and COMMISSIONERS John E. ‘Butch’ HOWARD, Elliott F. ELAM, Jr., Elizabeth B. ‘Lib’ FLEMING, Robert T. ‘Bob’ BOCKMAN, and G. O’Neal HAMILTON

**COMMISSION MEMBERS ABSENT:** —

ADVISOR TO COMMISSION: David W. Stark, III, Esq.  
LEGAL ADVISORY STAFF

PRESENTING AGENDA: James Spearman, Ph.D.  
EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT TO COMMISSIONERS

**STAFF PRESENT:** Jocelyn G. Boyd, Chief Clerk/Administrator; F. David Butler, Esq., Senior Counsel; B. Randall Dong, Esq., and Josh Minges, Esq., Legal Advisory Staff; Thomas Ellison, Douglas K. Pratt, William O. Richardson, and John Powers, Technical Advisory Staff; Janice Schmieding and Patricia Stephens, Clerk’s Staff; and Jo Elizabeth M. Wheat, CVR-CM/M-GNSC, Court Reporter.

**TRANSCRIPT / MINUTES  
OF  
COMMISSION BUSINESS MEETING**

---

***PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA***

101 EXECUTIVE CENTER DRIVE  
COLUMBIA, SC 29210

POST OFFICE BOX 11649  
COLUMBIA, SC 29211

[WWW.PSC.SC.GOV](http://WWW.PSC.SC.GOV)

**I N D E X**

	<b>PAGE</b>
<b><u>NEXT COMMISSION MEETING SET</u></b> .....	3
<b><u>UTILITIES AGENDA</u></b> .....	3
<i>Item #4</i> .....	5
Question/Comment by Commissioner Bockman	
Followed by amendment .....	9
Question/Comment by Commissioner Elam .....	13
Question/Comment by Chairman Whitfield .....	14
<b><u>TRANSPORTATION AGENDA</u></b> .....	18

*In accordance with S.C. Code § 30-4-80(E), the Public Service Commission hereby certifies that it has notified all persons, organizations, local news media, and all other news media requesting notification of the time, date, place, and agenda of this public meeting, by posting a copy of the Notice in its principal office, by e-mailing such Notice to all who request same, and by posting the Notice on the Commission's official Internet website.*

P R O C E E D I N G S

1  
2           **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Please be seated. I'll  
3 call this meeting to order and ask that we pause  
4 for a moment of silent meditation.

5                           [Brief pause]

6                           Amen.

7                           Dr. Spearman.

8           **DR. SPEARMAN:** Mr. Chairman and Commissioners,  
9 our first order of business would be to set the  
10 next Commission Meeting. If we stay on our regular  
11 schedule, it will be on Wednesday, May 9th, at 2 in  
12 the afternoon.

13           **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Wednesday, May 9th.  
14 Sounds good, Dr. Spearman.

15           **DR. SPEARMAN:** Mr. Chairman and Commissioners,  
16 on the Utility Agenda, Item 1, Docket No. 1985-150-  
17 C, we have a request to cancel Payphone  
18 Certificate.

19           **COMMISSIONER HAMILTON:** Mr. Chairman.

20           **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Commissioner Hamilton.

21           **COMMISSIONER HAMILTON:** Mr. Chairman, I would  
22 move we grant the request of Windstream South  
23 Carolina, LLC, to cancel Payphone Certificate,  
24 Order No. 1998-332, effective June 30, 2018. So  
25 move, Mr. Chair.

26           **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Thank you, Commissioner  
27 Hamilton.

28                           Commissioners, you've heard Commissioner  
29 Hamilton's motion. Is there any discussion?

30                           [No response]

31                           If not, all those in favor, please, say "aye"?

32           **COMMISSIONERS:** Aye.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

**CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Any opposed?

[No response]

Motion carries.

**DR. SPEARMAN:** In Item 2, Docket No. 2018-113-C, we have a Petition to Intervene by South Carolina Telephone Coalition.

**VICE CHAIRMAN RANDALL:** Mr. Chairman.

**CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Commissioner Randall.

**VICE CHAIRMAN RANDALL:** Mr. Chairman, I move we grant the Petition to Intervene in Docket No. 2018-113-C filed on behalf of the South Carolina Telephone Coalition. So moved, Mr. Chair.

**CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Thank you, Commissioner Randall.

You've heard Commissioner Randall's motion. Is there any discussion?

[No response]

Hearing none, all those in favor, please, say "aye"?

**COMMISSIONERS:** Aye.

**CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Any opposed?

[No response]

Motion carries.

**DR. SPEARMAN:** Item 3, Docket No. 2008-140-A, Kiawah Island Utility is requesting an extension of time.

**COMMISSIONER HOWARD:** Mr. Chairman.

**CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Commissioner Howard.

**COMMISSIONER HOWARD:** Mr. Chairman, I move we approve the extension of time until June 1, 2018, for Kiawah Island Utility, Inc., to file its 2017 annual report. So moved, Mr. Chairman.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

**CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Thank you.

You've heard Commissioner Howard's motion.  
Are there any questions?

[No response]

Hearing none, all those in favor, please, say  
"aye"?

**COMMISSIONERS:** Aye.

**CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Any opposed.

[No response]

Motion carries.

**DR. SPEARMAN:** In Item 4, Docket No. 2017-292-  
WS, this is the Carolina Water Service Application  
for increase in rates and charges.

**COMMISSIONER FLEMING:** Mr. Chairman.

**CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Commissioner Fleming.

**COMMISSIONER FLEMING:** For Docket No. 2017-  
292-WS, this matter comes before the Commission for  
the final disposition of the Carolina Water Service  
rate case, which I must say had some unusual  
matters to consider. My motion does not include  
all items in dispute or any adjustments to which  
the ORS and CWS agreed. All items in dispute and  
all adjustments will be discussed in detail in the  
Commission Order. This motion will address the  
major items in dispute.

First, with regard to the effect of the Tax  
Cuts and Jobs Act, this Commission held, in Order  
No. 2018-308, that, beginning January 1, 2018,  
regulatory accounting treatment is required for all  
regulated utilities for any impacts of the new law,  
including current and deferred tax impacts. We  
also held that the utilities should track and defer

1 the effects resulting from the Tax Act in a  
2 regulatory liability account and, further, that for  
3 water/wastewater utilities with operating revenues  
4 that are equal to or greater than \$250,000, the  
5 issue will be addressed at the next rate case or  
6 other proceeding.

7 I move that we hold that the provisions of  
8 Order No. 2018-308 should apply to Carolina Water  
9 Service, as well as to the other utilities  
10 indicated in Order No. 2018-308.

11 I further move that \$284,233 of sludge-hauling  
12 expenses is appropriate. With regard to sludge  
13 hauling, the company testified that the increase in  
14 expense during the test year was caused by  
15 additional sludge-removal requirements at the  
16 Friarsgate Plant and will continue until a new  
17 treatment facility is available. These costs are  
18 recoverable as known and measurable, and prudently  
19 incurred so as to comply with DHEC requirements.

20 Regarding the amortization of non-rate-case  
21 legal expenses, I move that \$998,606 in legal  
22 expenses were prudently incurred and should be  
23 amortized over 66.7 years, resulting in an annual  
24 legal expense of \$14,979. Regulated utilities,  
25 like any business, will experience litigation costs  
26 associated with its business operations. Without  
27 its defending against litigation, the Town of  
28 Lexington may not have condemned the company's I-20  
29 wastewater system, which benefits the CWS customers  
30 who were served by that I-20 system.

31 With regard to rate-base adjustments apart  
32 from the establishment of a regulatory liability to

1 account for excess federal taxes, I move that  
2 \$1,081,375 for the removal of the EQ liner and soil  
3 remediation at the Friarsgate Plant be included in  
4 rate base, even though the new EQ liner has not  
5 been installed. According to the company, a DHEC  
6 consent order required the removal of the existing  
7 liner, the environmental mitigation of soils under  
8 the existing liner, and the replacement of the  
9 existing liner. I believe that the cost of the  
10 removal of the existing EQ liner and environmental  
11 remediation serve the company's customers and the  
12 public interest.

13 I also move that we hold that the W.K. Dixon  
14 invoice costs of \$306,552 are recoverable since  
15 W.K. Dixon was hired to design an O&M manual and  
16 take other measures to ensure DHEC compliance for  
17 the plant. These costs were prudently incurred to  
18 ensure necessary compliance with environmental  
19 regulations and are recoverable.

20 Further, I move that we approve the company's  
21 proposals to eliminate the base facilities charge  
22 for irrigation-only meters and to eliminate the  
23 non-revenue water deferral account.

24 I further move that we approve the changes to  
25 back-flow testing requirements, the water-meter  
26 installation charge, and the addition of the  
27 limitation of liability. Also, the combination of  
28 certain sewer rates should be approved. In  
29 addition, the company's proposed actions with  
30 regard to the Forty Love Point and the Dancing  
31 Dolphin systems should be found to be reasonable.

32 Regarding the Forty Love Point neighborhood,

1 CWS and the neighborhood association have agreed to  
2 cooperatively investigate the source and extent of  
3 sewage problems experienced by customers in Forty  
4 Love Point and formulate a plan to address the  
5 issues. I would note that the company is retaining  
6 an engineering firm to perform an assessment of the  
7 Forty Love system and will report their findings to  
8 the PSC and ORS within six months.

9 Finally, I move that we approve the range of  
10 rate of return on equity of 10.45 percent to 10.95  
11 percent, as recommended by CWS Witness D'Ascendis,  
12 which I believe is fair and reasonable; set rates  
13 based on a 10.5 percent return on equity, which is  
14 very near the lower end of the recommended range;  
15 and approve the rates resulting from the  
16 adjustments as discussed above or agreed to by ORS,  
17 and the rate-of-returns stated above.

18 The adjustments discussed above, combined with  
19 the adjustments agreed upon by the company and ORS  
20 reduce by 35 percent the revenue increase proposed  
21 by the company in its Application.

22 This is the motion. I so move, Mr. Chairman.

23 But I also wanted to say that, throughout the  
24 merits hearing and the public night hearings, the  
25 company and its attorneys have stated a renewed  
26 commitment to improving its service and customer  
27 relations and becoming proactive, not just  
28 reactive. An example of this is the collaborative  
29 effort by the company and the residents of Forty  
30 Love to fix the sewer problems. I'm very pleased  
31 that the new management of the company acknowledges  
32 that it needs significant improvement in its



1 quality of service and customer relations, and  
2 expect the company to improve in these areas. I  
3 think with the new management on board, both on the  
4 regional level and the State level, our  
5 expectations are high that standards will greatly  
6 improve.

7 Mr. Chairman, that's my motion and my  
8 comment.

9 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Thank you, Commissioner  
10 Fleming, for your motion and your follow-up comment  
11 after the motion.

12 Are there any questions or is there any  
13 discussion regarding Commissioner Fleming's  
14 motion? Commissioner Randall.

15 **VICE CHAIRMAN RANDALL:** [Indicating.] Sorry.

16 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Okay, sorry. Saw your  
17 light on.

18 Anything further? Commissioner Bockman, did  
19 you have a –

20 **COMMISSIONER BOCKMAN:** I do, Mr. Chairman, if  
21 I might.

22 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Yes, sir.

23 **COMMISSIONER BOCKMAN:** Just a comment,  
24 really. First of all – well, two basic comments.  
25 First, I would just like the record to reflect that  
26 I do support the motion that Commissioner Fleming  
27 has made to the Commission. In my view, the  
28 preponderance of the evidence on the issues that  
29 she discussed, that were disputed by the parties,  
30 supports the positions that she has proposed to us  
31 and justifies findings and conclusions which would  
32 result from our adoption of her motion.

1 I wanted to just add to her final comment that  
2 she made, Mr. Chairman, about the company's quality  
3 of service and customer relations. I did mention  
4 in the hearing that I have experience with this  
5 company from the first time when I was an employee  
6 of this Commission in 1975, and this company, at  
7 that time and since, has provided a quality of  
8 service and customer relations that I think has  
9 been below the standard that we expect of our  
10 regulated water and wastewater companies.

11 I am encouraged by the fact that this company  
12 has new management, that it has expressed a desire  
13 and a determination to improve the quality of its  
14 services and its relationships with its customers,  
15 and the company in the evidence here has made  
16 statements in the record to support that, and  
17 closing statement of its counsel indicated that  
18 this company was committed to improvement in terms  
19 of its quality of service and its relationship with  
20 its customers. I'm also encouraged by the fact  
21 that a relatively few customers appeared here with  
22 customer complaints in this proceeding. I say  
23 "relatively few," compared to what I would have  
24 expected. I'm encouraged by that.

25 Nonetheless, I think this Commission is  
26 entitled to have expectations that Carolina Water  
27 Service will listen to its customers and respond to  
28 them on a routine basis, not just during ratemaking  
29 proceedings in which it would take the customers  
30 aside and address their complaints after they'd  
31 heard them. Good quality of service and customer  
32 relations is a 24/7 responsibility of regulated

1 utilities, and this Commission should expect  
2 nothing less. A company with the size and  
3 personnel of Carolina Water Service should set the  
4 standard for good quality of service and customer  
5 relations, not be an example of inferior service  
6 and customer relations.

7 The company should expect the Commission to  
8 exercise oversight to confirm that the company  
9 adheres to what it has told us in this proceeding.  
10 I would ask Commissioner Fleming to amend her  
11 motion to request that this Commission – to move  
12 that this Commission require Carolina Water Service  
13 to prepare periodic reports, not less than  
14 semiannually, for submission to this Commission and  
15 to ORS, describing customer complaints it has  
16 received during that period, the company's  
17 responses to those complaints, and the results of  
18 those responses. Mr. Chairman, I would also  
19 request that the motion be amended to require  
20 Carolina Water Service to provide a separate report  
21 on a periodic basis, and I would suggest  
22 semiannually, describing all capital improvements  
23 that have been made during that period to enhance  
24 quality of service to its customers and the costs  
25 incurred during the period for such capital  
26 improvements. I think that that would provide the  
27 Commission with an opportunity to review and ensure  
28 that this company has lived up to the  
29 representations that it has made to us, Mr.  
30 Chairman, and I would request Commissioner  
31 Fleming's permission to amend her motion to reflect  
32 my comments.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

**COMMISSIONER FLEMING:** I'm agreeable to that amendment. Could you state the motion as you would like for it to be amended.

**COMMISSIONER BOCKMAN:** Yes. I would –

**COMMISSIONER FLEMING:** The additions.

**COMMISSIONER BOCKMAN:** Yes. I would move to add to Commissioner Fleming's motion that the Commission require Carolina Water Service to prepare semiannual reports for submission to this Commission and to ORS, describing the customer complaints it has received during that period, Carolina Water Service's response to those complaints, and the results of those responses, and a separate report on a semiannual basis describing capital improvements that the company has made during that period to enhance the quality of service to its customers and the costs incurred during the period for such capital improvements. That would be my amendment, Commissioner Fleming, to your motion.

**CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Are you agreeable to his –

**COMMISSIONER FLEMING:** Yes.

**CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Okay.

**COMMISSIONER FLEMING:** I'm agreeable to the motion that –

**CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** As –

**COMMISSIONER FLEMING:** – I stated –

**CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** – amended?

**COMMISSIONER FLEMING:** – as amended.

**CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** As amended?

Now we have Commissioner Fleming's motion, now as amended by Commissioner Bockman.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

Commissioner Elam, you have further discussion?

**COMMISSIONER ELAM:** Comments, Mr. Chairman.

I'm agreeable to the amendments that Commissioner Bockman made. I agree that the company looks like it's trying to improve customer service, and I applaud that – as a customer.

On the accounting side in the order, I differ a bit from Commissioner Fleming's motion in three areas. In the first two, I am somewhat frustrated by what seems to be all-or-nothing choices that the Commission has on issues of legal expenses or the EQ liner. With regard to legal expenses, it really ends up being not that big a dollar figure per year – it's \$14-\$15,000 – over 66.7 years, which is the longest, I think, amortization period I've ever seen for anything.

It really seems like there ought to be some middle ground there, as far as what can be established with the invoices. I don't think it ought to be nothing. Clearly, there have been expenses that benefited customers, and there may have been expenses that didn't, as well. And what we're faced with is an all-or-nothing, and I don't, in that instance, agree with giving the company the full dollar figure, even if it ends up being a small amount per year.

Somewhat the same with the EQ liner. It's – clearly, there have been expenses. And I don't really necessarily agree that it's all in rate base or all out. I don't know if it's construction work in progress, or something of that nature. It's

1 clearly not providing service yet, even if the  
2 company was required to put it in by DHEC. It  
3 seems like we could find some other way there.

4 The other issue is the sludge hauling. Long  
5 ago, I was arguing to normalize test years when you  
6 had an outside-the-norm figure. I don't know that  
7 it's been conclusively shown that the number that  
8 the company put up is and will be a reliable enough  
9 number, going forward, that the Commission can  
10 simply take that as the number it's going to be  
11 into the future. I would have preferred the  
12 normalization proposed by ORS. I think the company  
13 can, whenever it may come back – and sometimes  
14 those intervals get smaller – can show that that  
15 figure is the normal number, going forward. As it  
16 is now, I don't see that, and agree with the notion  
17 of normalizing that expense.

18 On those three issues, only, Mr. Chairman, I  
19 differ with Commissioner Fleming's motion.

20 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** All right. Well, thank  
21 you, Commissioner Elam.

22 Are there any further questions or any further  
23 discussion?

24 I would note two things, Commissioner Bockman,  
25 with your amendment. Number one, the concerns  
26 about the sludge-hauling expense, I think one of  
27 your amendments was to report back capital  
28 expenditures. And, hopefully, going forward, we  
29 will see where we had, with the availability of a  
30 new treatment facility, which could make those  
31 sludge-hauling expenses a moot point.

32 The other question I would have, we –

1 Commissioner Fleming had in her original motion  
2 asking the company to report back their findings to  
3 ORS and the PSC the results from an engineering-  
4 firm assessment of the Forty Love system. So I  
5 guess, Commissioner Bockman, that could continue in  
6 your amendment, that we continue to – of course,  
7 we've asked them – her original motion said six  
8 months, but that could – did you possibly mean for  
9 that to be an ongoing reporting requirement in your  
10 capital –

11 **COMMISSIONER BOCKMAN:** Mr. Chairman, I think  
12 that would be proper to be included in that ongoing  
13 reporting requirement. And to the extent that  
14 that's still a project that would be ongoing, I  
15 think it would be appropriate to include in the  
16 report that I requested Commissioner Fleming to  
17 amend the motion.

18 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** So in your reports, going  
19 forward, but also her original motion, in the  
20 order, this would be a separate report initially.  
21 Is that the way you understand it?

22 **COMMISSIONER BOCKMAN:** I would certainly  
23 understand it to be that way, yes.

24 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** That's the way I  
25 understood it, too. All right.

26 Well, with that, if there are no further  
27 questions or if there's no further discussion, I'm  
28 going to call for the question. You've heard  
29 Commissioner Fleming's motion, amended by  
30 Commissioner Bockman. At this time, we're voting  
31 on Commissioner Fleming's motion as amended by  
32 Commissioner Bockman. All those in favor on the

1 motion, as amended by Commissioner Bockman, please  
2 say "aye" at this time.

3 **COMMISSIONERS**<sub>[except Commr. Elam]</sub>: Aye.

4 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD**: Any opposed.

5 **COMMISSIONER ELAM**: No.

6 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD**: All right. Motion  
7 carries, with one dissent.

8 Dr. Spearman, back to you.

9 **DR. SPEARMAN**: Mr. Chairman and Commissioners,  
10 Item 5, Docket No. 2014-346-WS, this is the  
11 Daufuskie Island Utility case. We have a motion  
12 for reconsideration of Commission Order, and this  
13 specifically refers to the bonding issue.

14 **COMMISSIONER ELAM**: Mr. Chairman?

15 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD**: Commissioner Elam.

16 **COMMISSIONER ELAM**: Mr. Chairman, I move we  
17 deny in part and grant in part the motion to  
18 reconsider. The ORS, in its report, states that  
19 not all customer refunds have been made. Daufuskie  
20 admits that refunds have not been made to former  
21 customers, and the dollar amount of refunds that  
22 has not been paid is not quantified. It is,  
23 therefore, clear to me that not all the refunds  
24 have been paid and that the dollar amount of unpaid  
25 refunds has not been determined. However, it is  
26 clear to me that the amount of unpaid refunds is  
27 much less than \$600,000 of personal funds that were  
28 used to secure the GIUC bond while the matter was  
29 on appeal.

30 Therefore, I move that \$400,000 of Mr. Lee's  
31 funds can be released. If a dollar amount for the  
32 unpaid refunds is filed, the Commission should



1 consider if and/or how much of Mr. Lee's personal  
2 funds must be retained. The information before us  
3 is insufficient to permit the release of the entire  
4 amount of \$600,000 of personal funds. And as  
5 stated, that's my motion, Mr. Chairman.

6 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Thank you.

7 You've heard Commissioner Elam's motion. Is  
8 there any discussion?

9 [No response]

10 If not, all those in favor, please, say "aye"?

11 **COMMISSIONERS:** Aye.

12 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Any opposed.

13 [No response]

14 Motion carries.

15 **DR. SPEARMAN:** Item six, Docket No. 2018-74-  
16 WS, Carolina Water Service has filed an agreement  
17 with York County for the provision of water and  
18 sewer service. And we have a letter from the ORS  
19 requesting this be held in abeyance.

20 **COMMISSIONER BOCKMAN:** Mr. Chairman?

21 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Commissioner Bockman.

22 **COMMISSIONER BOCKMAN:** I have a motion I would  
23 like to offer, with respect to this matter, please.

24 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Yes, sir, Commissioner  
25 Bockman.

26 **COMMISSIONER BOCKMAN:** Thank you. On March 2,  
27 2018, Carolina Water Service, Incorporated, filed  
28 for approval of the franchise agreement between  
29 Carolina Water Service and York County. This  
30 agreement, signed on February 5, 2018, took over a  
31 year to negotiate and replaces an agreement which  
32 expired in January 2017. On March 30, 2018, the

1 Office of Regulatory Staff filed a letter  
2 requesting this matter be held in abeyance stating  
3 "that this matter warrants additional inquiry."  
4 Specifically, ORS says further investigation of the  
5 cost of the agreement, including impacts to  
6 ratepayers, is needed. Carolina Water Service has  
7 been operating under this agreement since its  
8 execution three months ago. Neither this  
9 Commission nor the ORS has jurisdiction over the  
10 rates that York County charges. Therefore, I move  
11 that the request to hold this matter in abeyance be  
12 denied and the agreement approved. Mr. Chairman, I  
13 do so move.

14 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Thank you, Commissioner  
15 Bockman.

16 Commissioners, you've heard Commissioner  
17 Bockman's motion. Is there any discussion?

18 [No response]

19 If not, all those in favor, please, say "aye"?

20 **COMMISSIONERS:** Aye.

21 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Any opposed?

22 [No response]

23 Motion carries.

24 **DR. SPEARMAN:** Mr. Chairman and Commissioners,  
25 that completes the Utility Agenda.

26 On the Transportation Agenda, Items 1 through  
27 4 are Applications for Class C Certificates. Item  
28 1 is the Application of Elvia Gonzales, Item 2 is  
29 the Application of Marquee Limo Company, Item 3 is  
30 the Application of 1Hundred Brand, Item 4 is the  
31 Application of Graffiti Marketing and  
32 Transportation. And we can take those separately

1 or together.

2 **COMMISSIONER HOWARD:** Mr. Chairman.

3 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Commissioner Howard.

4 **COMMISSIONER HOWARD:** I'll take them all  
5 together, and I'll move that the new Applications  
6 in Items 1 through 4 be approved. So moved, Mr.  
7 Chairman.

8 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Thank you, Commissioner  
9 Howard.

10 You've heard Commissioner Howard's motion  
11 regarding Items 1 through 4. Is there any  
12 discussion?

13 [No response]

14 Hearing none, all those in favor, please, say  
15 "aye"?

16 **COMMISSIONERS:** Aye.

17 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Any opposed.

18 [No response]

19 Motion carries.

20 **DR. SPEARMAN:** Item 5, Docket No. 2018-40-T,  
21 is the Application of All My Sons Moving of  
22 Charlotte South for a Class E (Household Goods)  
23 Certificate.

24 **COMMISSIONER FLEMING:** Mr. Chairman.

25 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Commissioner Fleming.

26 **COMMISSIONER FLEMING:** For Docket No. 2018-40-  
27 T, I move that we approve the Application of All My  
28 Sons Moving of Charlotte South, LLC, for a Class E  
29 (Household Goods) Certificate. I so move.

30 **CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Thank you.

31 You've heard Commissioner Fleming's motion.  
32 Is there any discussion?

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

[No response]

Hearing none, all those in favor, please, say  
“aye”?

**COMMISSIONERS**<sub>[except Comm’r Howard]</sub>: Aye.

**CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD**: Any opposed.

[No response]

[Please Note: Commissioner Howard cast no  
vote on this item/matter.]

Motion carries.

**DR. SPEARMAN**: Item 6 consists of three  
dockets: Docket No. 2010-222-T, 2018-76-T, and  
2018-77-T. We have a request of Lakeside Limousine  
to cancel Certificate.

**COMMISSIONER HAMILTON**: Mr. Chairman.

**CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD**: Commissioner Hamilton.

**COMMISSIONER HAMILTON**: Mr. Chairman, I would  
move we grant the request of Lakeside Limousine,  
LLC, to cancel Class C (Charter) Certificate No.  
8293. So moved, Mr. Chairman.

**CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD**: Thank you, Commissioner  
Hamilton.

You’ve heard Commissioner Hamilton’s motion.  
Is there any discussion?

[No response]

If not, all those in favor, please, say “aye”?

**COMMISSIONERS**: Aye.

**CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD**: Any opposed.

[No response]

Motion carries.

**DR. SPEARMAN**: Items 7 and 8 are requests for  
extensions of time. Item 7 is a request of –  
excuse me – Lange Moving Systems, and Item 8 is the

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

request of Coleman American Moving Services and  
Covan World Wide Moving. We can take those  
separately or together.

**CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** I'll entertain a motion.

**VICE CHAIRMAN RANDALL:** Mr. Chairman.

**CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Commissioner Randall.

**VICE CHAIRMAN RANDALL:** Mr. Chairman.

**CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Commissioner Randall,  
yes, sir.

**VICE CHAIRMAN RANDALL:** On 7, I'll do Item 7.  
I move we grant the request of Lange Moving  
Systems, Inc., for an extension of time until May  
30, 2018, to file its 2017 annual report. So  
moved.

**CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Thank you, Commissioner  
Randall.

You've heard Commissioner Randall's motion in  
Item 7. Is there any discussion?

[No response]

Hearing none, all those in favor, please, say  
"aye"?

**COMMISSIONERS:** Aye.

**CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Any opposed.

[No response]

Motion carries.

**COMMISSIONER BOCKMAN:** Mr. Chairman?

**CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Commissioner Bockman.

**COMMISSIONER BOCKMAN:** Mr. Chairman, with  
respect to Docket No. 2008-140-A, I have a motion  
I'd like to make on this Item 8, sir. I would move  
that –

**CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** All right, sir.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**COMMISSIONER BOCKMAN:** I would move that we grant the request of Coleman American Moving Services, Incorporated, and Covan World Wide Moving, Incorporated, for an extension of time until May 25, 2018, to file their 2017 annual report. I so move.

**CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Thank you, Commissioner Bockman.

You've heard Commissioner Bockman's motion in Item 8. Is there any discussion?

[No response]

If not, all those in favor, please, say "aye"?

**COMMISSIONERS:** Aye.

**CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Any opposed.

[No response]

Motion carries.

Dr. Spearman.

**DR. SPEARMAN:** Mr. Chairman and Commissioners, this completes the agenda for the business meeting for today.

**CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD:** Thank you, Dr. Spearman. And we will see you next Wednesday, and this meeting is adjourned.

[WHEREUPON, at 2:45 p.m., the proceedings in the above-entitled matter were adjourned.]

---



Date: 6/4/18 .

Jo Elizabeth M. Wheat, CVR-CM/M-GNSC  
Court Reporter ~ Public Service Commission of South Carolina  
803.896.5100 ~ [Jo.Wheat@psc.sc.gov](mailto:Jo.Wheat@psc.sc.gov)